GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1910-11
1910-11
(Epil. Mis.)

Refer

Confl file 238

File No. 115-10-11

Serial Nos. 148

Papers Filed.

Month July 1911

SUBJECT.

Improving the Status and pay of M. Narasimhachar, Officer in Charge of Archaeological Researches

Confl. Collection No. 238 / Council section.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Jan 236-09 1913 67-06 Ge. 20 90-93 & 1-7-99 Mis 838	Fin. 117-11 of 10(A) Fin. 115-14. 88 142. 124-16 11 15

C. 275
6. 4. 11.

G.R.S. 11] 190- 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Genl Estt.)

NOTES.

File No. 115-

FILE HEADING.

Personal file of Mr. R. Narasimhachar
Officer in Charge of Archl. Researches.

From

Mr. R Narasimhachar.

~~No. Nil~~ Dated 10.9.10 Received 10.9.10

Diary No. 1211	Enclos. –	Spare Copies. –	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that, in consideration of the fact that he has been in charge of the Archl Dept for the last 4 years & of the way in which he has discharged his duties, his status may be raised & his pay increased.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

6.4. V

On a similar representation made by Mr. Narasimhachar in May 1907, the Govt observed that they did not consider there were any good reasons for giving him more pay or allowances for the discharge of duties he had to perform.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 15 of 190-11

Serial No.

9

which ~~has been~~ as defined in ~~G~~ O. No. fin 670 [illegible] was confirmed by Govt. The question of altering his designation ~~as described~~ as ~~Assistant~~ Engineer, ~~Grade II~~ has been discussed in fin. file 256-09.

2. Mr. Narasimhachar was promoted to the present grade Rs. 250-25-450 on 18th Sept. 1899 along with Messrs. N. Venkatarangan and A. Mahadevaiah.

14/6/10 (S. Secy) 16/7/10

3. Mr. Narasimhachar has been drawing Rs. 450 ~~for some years~~ from about July 07. He has been in charge of the Archæological ~~work~~ Dept. ~~also~~ from 1906 & has been doing fairly good work in his line. It is however not possible to say that he has made out a strong case for an increase of emoluments as the work is one that a man should be content to do for the love of it and the professional reputation that good work brings with it rather than for tangible monetary results.

If Govt. is inclined to improve his prospects his pay may be increased from 450 to 600 Rs. by annual increments of 25 Rs. In

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 115 of 1910-11

Serial No.

121

the claims of
that case, Dr Venkatesvaryar
& Mr Mahadeva Sastri — whose
pay was fixed at 250 – 450 along
with mate — Mr Narasimhachar —
for a similar favourable
Consider treatment — would
perhaps arise for consideration.

532. 2nd Cr)

28/9/10

Please note what
the Pay of Sundar Officers in
British India & of the Govt of
India Epigraphist is, if the in-
formation is readily available.

28/9/10

Br II Please note what pay
Mr Krishna Sastri (Asst Supdt Epigra-
phy S. India) gets & also the pay of
Mr Venkayya — Epigraphist with the Govt
India.

29/9/10

Mr H. Krishna Sastri draws now a pay
of Rs 325/; the Scale being 300 – 25 – 500.
(Vide page 278 of the Madras Civil List
herewith submitted)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-

G. R. S. 1½
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. St. File No. 175 of 1910-11

4

Serial No.

244

Mr Venkayya's name only is given here not his pay. (Vide page 91 of the Thacker's Indian Directory).

Sect?
DMB
5/10/10
wd 5/10/10 (Secretary)

4/5-10-10

9) In the Civil Estimates of the Govt of India Vol I. for the pay of the Govt Epigraphist is given as 500 – 800 (increment rate of increment ?, about which comparison of the figures for 1907-8 & 1909-10 appears to be Rs 50).

[The pay of the Arch. Supdt, madras is 500-25-550].

15
6-10-10

VS
7-10-10.
Secretary)

hm
7-10-10

2nd cr

3
9/10/10

1571

9) I think that some improvement is reasonable. The matter may (if the Dewan pleases) be considered in Council.

11/10/10

Dewan

8717

12/10/10

Note.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

14.11.10 Manjeshwar ph at Nonnel
Meeth.

G.R.S. 12
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M. File No. 115 of 1910-11.

Serial No.

after circulation D.O. Numbers
Thereof should not the fact be
communicated with Mr. Narasimha
(Chem.) that there are no Chemists
in other branches of the Service
who are his compeers but better
than the matter of emoluments

Attn 17 Feb 11

Circulate to Gaz.

Seen 18/2/11 Damanjee.

Mr. R. N. Watts to

* Copies of the to be retained in the file.

CSB
19/2/11

DO Dated 29th March 1911. To Mr. R. Narasimacha

Requesting him to furnish information as to whether there are no Chemists in other branches of the Service who are his compeers but better than the matter of emoluments.

DO Dated 31st March 1911. From Mr. R. Narasimachar. Furnishes the information called for in the above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-1-09

G. M. File No. 115 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Subject to 2nd

13
14/4

Mr. Narasimhachar was put into his present grade Rs 400 rising to 450 when he was Assistant to Mr. Rice. After the latter's retirement practically the whole work has fallen on him. To this extent there seems to be some reason in his demand. At the same time (though Mr. Rice was getting a large salary) it must be borne in mind that there should be a limit to increase of pay that we can give to officers carrying on such special work in this State. I am in-

GPB—55,000—27-4-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M. File No. 115 of 1910-11.

Serial No.

16

formed that the Epigraphist of the Govt of India gets 800 Rs as maximum Pay. I think we may add 50 or 100 Rs to Mr. Narasimhachar's pay and tell him that Govt consider that that sum as the max^m allowable for this work.

The Financial department may be consulted on this proposal.

6/4/11

Br II for opinion

C/S
6/4/11

Fin. Secy).

Asst Secy Please note on the case

Inf under § 7386-8 & 16)-06-45 dt 28th May 07 it has been distinctly held

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

264

that the work of Archaeological Research must require a whole time Officer to itself and that it would be considered whether the work could not be attached to the Curator Oriental Library. However the Office has Continued and that order at least indicates that there is no sufficient reason to have a highly Paid Officer. The work has to be rewarded & hampered to be better paid Appointment under & increasing the pay of the Officer in the place where he is. It also possible that for the reason that Oriental Research & Archaeological Research are equally important, important and as a matter of fact Meteorology Certainly is more important — Helps Mahadeva Raj & Venkatesa Iyengar have sent in their Claims for an increase to their pay. Here therefore does not like to reason to raise the pay of the Officer in charge of Archaeological Researches.

Recd

Recd

From the financial point of view there is the strongest possible objection to increase the

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M. File No. 115 of 1910-11

Serial No.

264

1211

emoluments of the appointment in question. Mysore has perhaps spent more for ~~spinning~~ perpetuate than any other province or State and the present rate of expenditure in connection with the Dept^t is high enough. The only way to give more pay to M^r N. which can be acceptable financially is to combine his appt^n with some other appointment which may give him somewhat more pay while reducing the expenditure of the State on the whole.

M
20/4/11

for V)

Secy. M
21.4.11

I fear it would be ~~quite~~ impracticable to assign other duties to M^r Narasimha char as suggested by the Fin^l Secr.
Some of the views expressed in the Lyo. of 28 May 07 as to the amount of work to be done ~~to~~ in the department have had to be modified in the light of subsequent experience.

2nd C^r

CSB
20/4/11

I feel the force of the remarks of the H Secretary. M
At the same time, the work of Mr. Narasimhachar is such that

2028

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M File No. 115 of 1910-11

Serial No.

1211

he cannot be transferred to another department on promotion. If we do so, his special training will be lost, and another man would have to learn his work from the beginning. The department is not one of the necessities but it is among the accomplishments of the administration. ~~If~~ I proposed only a modest increase just to indicate appreciation. We may tell Mr. Narasu that for to expect the work of the dept to go on for ever, and he shd restrict himself to important features and proceed ~~to~~ in the most promising directions, and try to arrive at a finality in four or five years.

Dewan.

28/4/11

(When a vacancy occurs, I do not see why there shd not be some amalgamation.)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

11395
2.5.11

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen File No. 115 of 1910

18

Serial No.

121

Sd with a draft - as personally discussed

T(D)-30
6/7/11

~~A D.O.~~

Issue D.O. putup.
ebg
8/7/11

D.O.
To the Offr. in ch: of Archl Researches No. G238 Dy 11. 7. 11

States that his request for leave of the Sec'l cannot

(Page 11 to 17 of the notes)

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

D 12 11

Bangalore
10. 9. 10

Dear Mr. Balasundaram Iyer

While thanking Government for their kindness in placing me under their direct control by G. O. No. G. 5736-8 / G. M. 256-09-3 dated 3rd May 1910, I would request permission to state a few facts relating to myself for the favorable consideration of the Government.

I have put in a total service of nearly 28 years — 12 years as a teacher in Government Schools and Colleges, 5 years as Educational Translator and 11 years in the Archaeological Department. Mr. Rice selected me for the post of his Assistant in consideration of my high

Attainments in Sanskrit, Kannada and Tamil and of my researches in the fields of literature and antiquities; and I discharged my heavy duties to his entire satisfaction, which he has clearly expressed in several of his annual repor and letters to Government. On his retirement in July 1906 I was placed in charge of the S Department; and during the last 4 years I have made several important discoveries which added considerably to the previous stock of knowledge and which have been welcomed and highly appreciated by several scholars and experts in Europe as is evidenced by their letters in my possession.

The only branch of archæology that engrossed Mr Rice's attention was epigraphy. I am, however, doing work connected not only with epigraphy but also with other branches of archæology such as Numismatics, Excavations, Architecture, Examination of old records and manuscripts etc. Further, to these duties is added literary work such as editing old Kannada books which I was doing as Mr Rice's Assistant and proof work which was being done by the late Manager of the Office.

It will thus be seen that the work I am now doing as the head of the Archæological office is nearly thrice as much as what Mr. Rice was doing, though my pay continues to be the same as it was when Mr Rice's Assistant doing only

a portion of my present work without any kind of responsibility.

I earnestly hope that Government will be pleased to take the above facts into their favorable consideration and, in view of the increased duties and responsibilities appertaining to my present post, raise my status and increase my pay to at least one-half of what Mr. Rice was getting. This, I need not say, will be a great stimulus to further effort to achieve greater success in this technical field.

Yours Sincerely

R. Kassimbacher

S. (A) S.

29th March 1911

Dear Mr Narasimulu,

In connection with the representation made by you about the improvement you pray and prospects, information is wanted as to whether there are no others in other branches of the Service who are your compeers both before the maker of endowments. I shall feel much obliged if you can furnish me with information on the subject as you are most likely to be able to say without difficulty who are your compeers.

Yours truly

(Sd) C S. Balasundaram Iyer.

Bangalore
31. 3. 11

Dear Mr. Balasundaram Iyer

In reply to your D.O. of the 29th inst. permit me to inform you that I joined the Mysore service in 1882 and served as a teacher in the Education Department for a period of 12 years, ie upto 1894, when I was specially selected by Mr. H. J. Bhabha M.A. for the post of Kannada Translator, Education Department. At the time of my selection for this appointment I was an Assistant master in the Maharajas College, Mysore, teaching the F.A. and B.A. classes and was relieved by Mr. A.E. Adolphus B.A. I served as

Kannada Translator for nearly 4 years when I was again specially selected by Mr. L. Rice for the post of his Assistant. Messrs M. Srinivasa Rao B.A., T.R. Venkatasawmi Naidu B.A. and N. Narasinga Sjengar M.A., who are my juniors in educational service by 1, 2 and 7 years respectively, are now drawing higher pay than myself from my appointment as Assistant to the Director of Archæological Researches in 1899. I was given the grade of 250 to 450 along with Mr. V. Venkatesa Iyengar B.A., who is my junior by 5 years. These are some of the compeers that I can think of.

Besides the question of compeers I beg to urge another point for the favorable consideration of the Government. I have served nearly 12 years in the Technical department of archæology, 7 yrs as Assistant to the Director and 5 as head of the office. During these 5 years I have been doing thrice or four times the work of my predecessor and have made several discoveries in the realms of history and literature which have procured the appreciation of several well known scholars in Europe. Yet my pay has remained exactly the same as it was when I was the Assistant to the Director. Even if the Government had been pleased to give me a charge allowance at least, I should have been drawing an additional 150 ever since Mr Rice's retirement. As the duties of the appointment require a great deal of erudition and intellectual labour and as a certain stigma attached to it is sure to facilitate the work of

the department in the various parts of the Province,
I earnestly request that Government may be
pleased to confer upon me the status of at least
a Deputy Commissioner of the last grade with effect
from the date of Mr. Rice's retirement.

Yours Sincerely

R. Narasimhachar

No G 238
Gen 115 10-8

114 vol 7

S. R. 5

11th July 1911

My dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter of the 10th Sept. 1910, on the subject of improving your Stables and pay, I am directed to say the Govt. regret that as they do not see their way ~~are no good reason~~ to grant your requ

Yours Sincerely
CSO
8/7/14

R. Narasimmachar Esq MA
Officer in charge of

Copies 1/11/11